

**EX:** An engineer claims that summing 12 random variables that are independent and uniformly distributed on  $[0,1]$  gives a good approximation to the standard gaussian (or normal) distribution. Calculate the mean and variance of 12 independent uniform random variables on  $[0,1]$ , and determine whether the engineer's claim is valid for the mean and variance. In other words, do you get the mean and variance of the standard gaussian distribution?

**SOL'N:** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_{12}$  be the the 12 random variables that are uniformly distributed on  $(0,1)$ . Let  $Z$  be the random variable we obtain by summing the  $X_i$ . We use the following tools for linear combinations of independent random variables (extended from formulas for the sum of two random variables and using a multiplying factor of one for each term):

$$\mu_Z = \sum_{i=1}^{12} \mu_{X_i}$$
$$\sigma_Z^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{12} \sigma_{X_i}^2$$

For uniform  $(0,1)$  random variables, we have the following values:

$$\mu_{X_i} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{X_i}^2 = \frac{1}{12}$$

Thus, we have the following mean and variance of  $Z$ :

$$\mu_Z = 12 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 6 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_Z^2 = 12 \cdot \frac{1}{12} = 1$$

For a standard gaussian, we have  $\mu = 0$  and  $\sigma^2 = 1$ . Thus, the engineer has the wrong mean value for  $Z$ .

**NOTE:** By subtracting 6 we obtain a fairly good approximation to a standard gaussian. It has the correct mean and variance, and the shape is nearly gaussian. The flaw it still has is that the tails extend only to  $-6$  on the left and  $+6$  on the right. It is an acceptable substitute for the gaussian, however, if having values far out on the tails are unimportant.