

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
ELECTRICAL AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

IEEE STUDENT TECHNICAL CONFERENCE
IEEE REGION SIX GUIDELINE FOR JUDGING STUDENT PAPERS
(Adopted August 1969; Revised September 1974)

IEEE Student Papers are to be judged in three categories: the written presentation, the oral presentation, and the project (or study) itself. Categories are equally weighted. The written papers will be judged separately by the faculty members who have thesis sections during spring semester. Therefore, during this conference, the papers will be judged on the last two categories oral presentation and the project. In making this judgment, the following factors should be considered:

1. Oral presentation (score 1 to 5)
 - a. Organization
 - b. Content clear
 - c. Language
 - d. Effectiveness of oral presentation
 - e. Ability to field questions
 - f. Audio-visual aids
2. The project (score 1 to 5)
 - a. Usefulness
(Does it fulfill a need with reasonable ease and economy?)
 - b. Originality
(Is the idea novel and are the procedures ingenious?)
 - c. Development
(Is the reasoning sound? Are the mathematical processes pertinent and correct? Are the experimental techniques satisfactory?)

Suggested Method of Scoring

Assign to each of the categories listed above a score on the scale of 5 to 1. Scores accurate to one decimal point but not greater than 5 are appropriate.

5 - Excellent

4 - Great

3 - Good

2 - Fair

1 - Poor

Each judge should judge each paper as to oral presentation and the project separately, using the attached forms. Please write a sentence or two substantiating your score and/or drawing specific attention to strong or weak points in the presentation. These brief descriptors will aid the thesis advisers in their final judgments, and will provide feedback to each individual student on his work.

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
ELECTRICAL AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
April 1, 2004

IEEE STUDENT TECHNICAL CONFERENCE
JUDGING SHEET

Student Name _____ Session _____

1. Oral Presentation

(You may wish to score each item individually and average the resultant total.)

- a. Organization _____
 - b. Content clear _____
 - c. Language _____
 - d. Effectiveness of oral presentation _____
 - e. Ability to field questions _____
 - f. Audio-visual aids _____
- Total _____ Total/6 = _____
(5 max)

2. Project

- a. Usefulness _____
 - b. Originality _____
 - c. Development _____
- Total _____ Total/3 = _____
(5 max)

Written descriptors _____

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
ELECTRICAL AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

IEEE STUDENT TECHNICAL CONFERENCE
STUDENT JUDGING FORM
April 1, 2004

Name _____ ECE 4910 Instructor _____

We would like each ECE 4910 student to critique six other papers for your own experience as well as for giving us feedback on the general presentations themselves. Judge at least six papers from 1 to 5 (5 being best) for:

1. Oral presentation
2. Technical project

Write any appropriate comments immediately under the name and score. Turn your judging sheet in to the session chairman of the last session before leaving.

<u>Author's Last Name</u>	<u>Presentation</u> <u>1 2 3 4 5</u>	<u>Project</u> <u>1 2 3 4 5</u>
1. _____	_____	_____
Comments:		
2. _____	_____	_____
Comments:		

	<u>Author's Last Name</u>	<u>Presentation</u>	<u>Project</u>
		<u>1 2 3 4 5</u>	<u>1 2 3 4 5</u>
3.	_____	_____	_____
	Comments:		
4.	_____	_____	_____
	Comments:		
5.	_____	_____	_____
	Comments:		
6.	_____	_____	_____
	Comments:		