## Ex:



Calculate the power consumed (i.e., dissipated) by the $4 i_{\mathrm{x}}$ dependent source. Note: If a source supplies power, the power it consumes is negative.

SoL'n: The mesh-current method is one method of solution, and it works well here. The diagram below shows the definition of the mesh currents. Note the $i_{1}$ is defined in such a way that $i_{\mathrm{x}}=i_{1}$.


We have a super-mesh, and we write an equation for the central current source in terms of the mesh currents:

$$
4 i_{\mathrm{x}}=4 i_{1}=-\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right)
$$

or

$$
i_{2}=-5 i_{1}
$$

We also write a voltage-loop equation around the outside of the circuit:

$$
i_{1} \cdot 1.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega-15 \mathrm{~V}-i_{2} \cdot 360 \Omega+i_{1} \cdot 2 \mathrm{k} \Omega=0 \mathrm{~V}
$$

or

$$
i_{1} \cdot(1.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega+2 \mathrm{k} \Omega)-i_{2} \cdot 360 \Omega=15 \mathrm{~V}
$$

Substituting for $i_{2}$ yields the following result:

$$
i_{1} \cdot(1.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega+2 \mathrm{k} \Omega)-\left(-5 i_{1}\right) \cdot 360 \Omega=15 \mathrm{~V}
$$

or

$$
i_{1} \cdot(1.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega+2 \mathrm{k} \Omega+1.8 \mathrm{k} \Omega)=15 \mathrm{~V}
$$

or

$$
i_{1}=\frac{15 \mathrm{~V}}{5 \mathrm{k} \Omega}=3 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

The current in the dependent source is $4 i_{1}$ :

$$
4 i_{\mathrm{x}}=12 \mathrm{~mA}
$$

A voltage loop on the left side yields the voltage, $v$, across the dependent source.


$$
i_{1} \cdot 2 \mathrm{k} \Omega+i_{1} \cdot 1.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega-v=0 \mathrm{~V}
$$

or

$$
v=i_{1} \cdot 2 \mathrm{k} \Omega+i_{1} \cdot 1.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega=i_{1} \cdot 3.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega=9.6 \mathrm{~V}
$$

The power is the voltage times the current:

$$
p=v \cdot 4 i_{\mathrm{x}}=9.6 \mathrm{~V} \cdot 12 \mathrm{~mA}=115.2 \mathrm{~mW}
$$

Note: Another way to approach this problem is to note that the dependent source carries four times the current in the parallel branch that has resistance $1.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega+2 \mathrm{k} \Omega=3.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega$. Thus, the dependent source acts like a resistance of $3.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega / 4=800 \Omega$.

Note: Another way to approach this problem is to first find the Thevenin equivalent of the circuit without the resistance, $1.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega+2 \mathrm{k} \Omega=3.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega$, through which $i_{\mathrm{x}}$ flows. After the Thevenin equivalent is found, the $3.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ may be placed across its output, and current $i_{\mathrm{x}}$ flowing through it and voltage $v$
across it may be found. The power in the dependent source is $p=v \cdot 4 i_{\mathrm{X}}$ as before. This entire process is explained next.

First, flip the circuit horizontally so it looks more like a familiar form of a circuit with a resistance of $3.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ attached to terminals $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ :


We may define $i_{\mathrm{x}}$ to be whatever current flows out of the a terminal, and we may change the load attached to the circuit at $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ as we find the Thevenin equivalent of the circuit to the left of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$.

The voltage in the Thevenin equivalent is the voltage across the $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ terminals with the $3.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ load resistance removed:


Since $i_{\mathrm{x}}$ is zero, the dependent source has no current and acts like an open circuit. This, this circuit simplifies to one in which the current in the $360 \Omega$ is zero and the voltage across a and $\mathbf{b}$ is the 15 V of the source.


To find the $R_{\mathrm{Th}}$, we may take the ratio of $v_{\mathrm{Th}}$ to the short circuit current, $i_{\mathrm{sc}}$, flowing in a wire connected to the circuit output:


Here, we have 0 V across the $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ terminals and $i_{\mathrm{x}}=i_{\mathrm{sc}}$. An outer voltage loop gives the current through the $360 \Omega$ resistor:

$$
i_{360 \Omega}=\frac{15 \mathrm{~V}}{360 \Omega}=\frac{1}{24} \mathrm{~A}
$$

By summing current out of the node to the right of the $360 \Omega$ resistor, we obtain an equation for $i_{\mathrm{x}}$ :

$$
5 i_{\mathrm{x}}=i_{360 \Omega}=\frac{1}{24} \mathrm{~A}
$$

or

$$
i_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{1}{120} \mathrm{~A}
$$

or

$$
i_{\mathrm{sc}}=\frac{1}{120} \mathrm{~A}
$$

We now calculate $R_{\text {Th }}$ :

$$
R_{\mathrm{Th}}=\frac{v_{\mathrm{Th}}}{i_{\mathrm{sc}}}=\frac{15 \mathrm{~V}}{\frac{1}{120} \mathrm{~A}}=15 \cdot 120 \Omega=1.8 \mathrm{k} \Omega
$$

We have the following Thevenin equivalent:


It may seem that we have gone to a great deal of trouble to avoid the dependent source, but the individual steps in this process are quite simple once the required circuit interpretations are mastered.

Now we attach the $3.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ resistance to the output and find $v$ and $i_{\mathrm{x}}$.


We have a voltage divider for $v$, and an application of Ohm's law for $i_{\mathrm{x}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v=15 \mathrm{~V} \frac{3.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega}{1.8 \mathrm{k} \Omega+3.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega}=9.6 \mathrm{~V} \\
& i_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{15 \mathrm{~V}}{1.8 \mathrm{k} \Omega+3.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega}=\frac{15 \mathrm{~V}}{5 \mathrm{k} \Omega}=3 \mathrm{~mA}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we find the power for the dependent source:

$$
p=v \cdot 4 i_{\mathrm{x}}=9.6 \mathrm{~V} \cdot 12 \mathrm{~mA}=115.2 \mathrm{~mW}
$$

