

# Q 4 . FSM minimization

Note:-  $x=0$  distinguishes (C, D, H) from the rest  
 $\rightarrow o/p=1$   
 $\rightarrow o/p=0$

$$P_0 = (A B C D E F G H)$$

$$P_1 = (C D H) (A B E F G)$$

$$P_2 = \text{look @ } \begin{array}{l} A \xrightarrow{0} B \\ E \xrightarrow{0} D \end{array} \text{ different partitions}$$

So, A & E are distinguishable

But A & B are not

$$P_2 = (C D H) (A B) (E F G)$$

A & F can be distinguished, ~~not~~

B & F " " "

But E & F cannot be distinguished as their successor (D, C) are in the same partition.

F & G  $\rightarrow$  (C, D)  $\rightarrow$  can't distinguish

$P_3 \rightarrow$  now C & H are distinguishable  
as E & A are distinguishable.

$$P_3 = (CD)(H)(AB)(EFG)$$

$$P_4 = (CD)(H)(A)(B)(EFG)$$

$$\underline{\underline{P_5 = (CD)(H)(A)(B)(EFG) \leftarrow}}$$

Q5. ~~Q~~ The circuit can be designed using both Mealy & Moore-type machines.

Since we are comparing inputs  $w_1$  &  $w_2$  for equality

$$\text{let } K = w_1 \oplus w_2.$$

whenever  $K=1$ ,  $w_1 \neq w_2$  & the sequence is broken.

We need to read  $K=0$  for 4-consecutive

cycles  $\rightarrow$  & produce  $o/p = 1$

or "  $o/p = 0$ , otherwise.

Mealy machine.

P.S.	N.S., Z	
	K=0	K=1
A	B, 0	A, 0
B	C, 0	A, 0
C	D, 0	A, 0
D	D, 1	A, 0

If I use the state assignment

$$A = 00$$

$$B = 01$$

$$C = 10$$

$$D = 11$$

$$Y_1, Y_2$$

then  ~~$Z = \bar{K} y_1 + K y_2$~~

$Y_1, K$	$Y_2$			
	00	01	11	10
0		1	1	1
1				

$$Y_1 = \bar{K} (y_1 + y_2)$$

$$Y_2 = \bar{K} (\bar{y}_1 \bar{y}_2 + y_1 \bar{y}_2 + y_1 y_2)$$

$$= \bar{K} (y_1 + \bar{y}_1 \bar{y}_2)$$

$$= \bar{K} (y_1 + \bar{y}_2)$$

PS	NS	
	K=0	K=1
00	01, 0	00, 0
01	10, 0	00, 0
10	11, 0	00, 0
11	11, 1	00, 0

$$Z = \bar{K} \cdot y_1 y_2$$

Moore m/c.

~~Example~~ Add an extra state into the mealy m/c to account for :-

A  $\rightarrow$  broken seq.

B  $\rightarrow$   $K=0$  for 1-cycle.

C  $\rightarrow$  " " 2-cycles.

D  $\rightarrow$  " " 3 - 4

E  $\rightarrow$  " " 4 - cycles.

