

Ex: ++ $R_1 = 2 \text{ k}\Omega$ vi  $v_o$ Ro |H|1 2/3 1/2

Given the resistors connected as shown, with  $R_1 = 2 \text{ k}\Omega$ , and using not more than an additional one each *R*, *C*, and *L* in the dashed-line box, design a circuit to go in the dashed-line box that will produce the  $|\text{H}(j\omega)|$  vs.  $\omega$  shown above. That is:

ω

$$|H(j\omega)| = \frac{1}{2}$$
 at  $\omega = 0$  and  $\lim_{\omega \to \infty} |H(j\omega)| = \frac{2}{3}$ 

- a) Show how the components would be connected in the circuit by drawing them in the box above. **Note:** component *values* are not required for this part.
- b) Give the value of  $R_2$ .

0





This problem may also be solved by using a single R and C in the configuration shown below.



One or more solutions may be possible that include both an L and C, although these filters are likely to have ripples in the gain curve.

b) The value of  $R_2$  will depend on the configuration used in part (a). For the solution with the *R* and *L* above, the value of  $R_2$  is dictated by the gain at  $\omega = 0$ , since the *L* shorts out  $R_3$  at this frequency.

$$\frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} = \frac{1}{2} \implies R_2 = 2 \,\mathrm{k}\Omega$$

The value of  $R_3$  for this configuration would be 2 k $\Omega$ . (*L* becomes an open as  $\omega$  approaches infinity, so there is a voltage divider formed by  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , and  $R_3$ .)

For the solution with the *R* and *C* above, the value of  $R_2$  is dictated by the gain for  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ , since the *C* shorts out  $R_3$  at this frequency.

$$\frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} = \frac{2}{3} \implies R_2 = 4 \,\mathrm{k}\Omega$$

The value of  $R_3$  for this configuration would be 2 k $\Omega$ . (*C* becomes an open for  $\omega = 0$ , so there is a voltage divider formed by  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , and  $R_3$ .)